

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/728,356	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PANEC ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ramsey Refai	<b>Art Unit</b> 2152	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Ramsey Refai.

 (2) Mary Olynick.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 April 2007
**Time:** 05:00 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned the Applicant to clarify whether the remarks filed on 02/12/07 were applicable to the current application since the caption recited a different application number. The Applicant informed the Examiner that the incorrect citation was inadvertently used and that the remarks were the correct remarks for this application .